#### THE BOSTON PSYCHOANALYTIC SOCIETY AND INSTITUTE

141 Herrick Road, Newton Centre, Massachusetts 02459

# Seminar Year II **Technique II: The Analytic Stance**Fall 2016

Instructors: Michael Caplan, MD and Michael Dvorkin EdD

What we have come to know about the shaping of the analyst, his work ego, and analytic competence has long since required of us that we see ourselves in our work as indeed adequate at times, but liable to lapse and short-fall for many reasons. Since we know the assets and limitations we brought to our choice of career, and the forces of conflict and compromise that shaped that choice, we know we are not so different from our patients, except as our own analytic experience and training have helped us to evolve a little further in our development and adaptation through analytic ways of knowing. We realize that what we have been trained to do and molded to think both expand and constrain us, and reflect our identifications made with and against those who educated us. McLaughlin (1991)

Note: log into your PEP Web account to access full text articles cited below

# Session 1, September 22: Transference I: Freud's technique and the limits of technical directives

- 1) Freud, S. (1914). Observations on transference-love. Standard Edition 12: 157-171. PEP Web link
- 2) Pinsky, E. (2014). The Potion: Reflections on Freud's "Observation on transference-love". JAPA 62: 455-474. [Available in Library]

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to elucidate the two somewhat contradictory views of transference love introduced by Freud in his seminal paper on the subject.

In the Transference Love paper, Freud lays out two seemingly contradictory views of the treatment relationship. After taking pains to point out the illusory nature of transference love, he shifts to an emphasis on the feelings that arise in treatment. One can read these two positions as bookends that have framed subsequent debate regarding analytic technique and the treatment relationship

# Session 2, September 29th: Transference II: The evolution of the concept

1) Bird, Brian (1972) Transference: Universal Phenomenon and Hardest Part of Analysis, JAPA 20 267-301. PEP Web Link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to discuss how the concept of transference evolved in North American psychoanalysis.

The concept of transference has long been recognized as a central element in the clinical encounter. In this classic review of the topic, Bird wrestles with many of the issues that come to the fore when psychoanalysts discuss the topic. Transference vs. the "real relationship," the role of countertransference, the elusive concept of transference neurosis, and the role of the analyst's subjectivity. We will use this as a jumping off point to look at more contemporary views on the subject as the course progresses.

### Session 3, October 6: Using Countertransference I: The evolution of the concept

Loewald, H. (1986). Transference countertransference. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association 34: 275-287. PEP Web link

Jacobs, T.J. (1986). On countertransference enactments. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association 34: 289-307. PEP Web link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to address how countertransference came to be seen as a useful source of data in psychoanalysis.

The early papers on counter-transference were an attempt to move beyond the view of counter-transference as a contamination of the analytic field. These authors came to accept their own emotional reactions and associations as valuable sources of information regarding the transference and the analysands internalized object relations. Subsequent theorists have questioned the certainty with which they present their insights.

# Session 4, October 13<sup>th</sup>: Using Countertransference II: Listening from different vantage points

Schwaber, E.A. (1992). Countertransference: The analyst's retreat from the patient's vantage point. International Journal of Psychoanalysis 73: 349-362. PEP Web link

Ogden, T. (1996).Reconsidering Three Aspects of Psychoanalytic Technique. Int. J. of Psychoanalysis 77: 883-899. PEP Web Link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to discuss the contrasting approaches to analytic listening offered by Schwaber and Ogden.

How we listen to analytic process is a cornerstone of our work and may vary greatly, depending on theoretical perspective and the personhood of the analyst. In this session, we will consider two very different approaches to how we might think about the analyst's listening. Schwaber has made important contributions to this topic, particularly around the importance of empathy and the capacity of the analyst to listen, affectively, from the patient's vantage point. In her paper for this session, she considers the close process of several clinical situations, exploring what might cause the analyst to retreat from the patient's experience. Ogden's paper will offer an interesting contrast. Rather then privileging one vantage point over another, and working from an intersubjective

perspective, Ogden focuses on listening for the affective dimension of aliveness and deadness as it emerges in the analytic third created by the reveries of both analyst and analysand.

# Session 5, October 20th: Caring, Coercion and The Analyst's Presence

Hoffman, I. (1986). The intimate and ironic authority of the psychoanalyst's presence. Psychoanalytic Quarterly 65: 102-136. PEP Web link

Renik, O. (1999). Playing one's cards face up in analysis: An approach to the problem of self-disclosure. Psychoanalytic Quarterly 68: 521-539. PEP Web link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to contrast two different views of the analyst's authority in psychoanalysis.

These two contemporary authors hold similar positions on many issues that have come to define the relational position. These two papers, however, show them taking very different positions on a key issue related to the atmosphere in which the treatment is conducted. Hoffman believes we should preserve the power of illusion though he still values the "intimacy" of the relationship. Renik, by contrast, believe we should just put our ideas on the table, diminishing the mystique of the analyst in favor of a search for truth conducted by two adults in a very personal and meaningful collaboration

## **Optional Reading**

Kris, A. O. (1990). The analyst's stance and the method of free association. Psychoanalytic Study of the Child 45: 24-41. PEP Web link

### Session 6, October 27: Resistance: To What and By Whom

- 1) Gill, M. (1979). The Analysis of the Transference. JAPA 27 S: 263-288. PEP Web Link
- 2) Mitrani, J. (2001) Taking the Transference: Some Technical Implications in Three Papers by Bion. Int. J. Psychoanalysis 82:1085-1104. PEP Web Link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to elucidate the factors that may contribute to the analyst's resistances to being fully engaged in treatment.

We all know that the exploration of transference is perhaps the most central part of therapeutic action. However, we also know that this is an unusually difficult and unnerving aspect of psychoanalytic work. What are are some of the reasons for our difficulty and how might we think about the obstacles as well as how we use, or don't use, ourselves in this process? Coming from an interpersonal perspective, Gill's article explores reasons for our own complex resistances to transference, as well as ways that we may make transference more immediate and accessible in the here and now. Mitrani's article draws on the work of Bion to consider the analyst's role vis a vis transference, in particular, the functions of containment, reverie and transformation.

### Session 7, November 3: The analyst's unconscious participation

Aron, L. (1991). The patient's experience of the analyst's subjectivity. Psychoanalytic Dialogues 1: 29-51. <u>PEP Web link</u>

McLaughlin, J. (1988). The analyst's insights. Psychoanalytic Quarterly 57: 370-389. PEP Web link

Learning Objective: Participants will be able to contrast two different views of the use of the analyst's subjectivity.

Aron and McLaughlin address the issue of the analyst's subjectivity in ways that overlap but also imply different conceptualizations of the analyst's "optimal functioning." Both would agree that counter-transference is a useful source of data that can also be a hindrance at times. McLaughlin believes that self-analysis can return the analyst to place of relatively unencumbered work. Aron, by contrast, views the analyst's subjectivity as a ubiquitous and unavoidable aspect of the interactive process in treatment. This view is an elaboration of Benjamin's notion of the mother's subjectivity as the infant's window into external reality.

# Session 8, November 10: All the Same Only Different

- 1) Greenberg, Jay (2015) Therapeutic Action and the Analyst's Responsibility. JAPA 63: pp. 24-42. [Available in Library]
- 2) Wallerstein, R.S. (2005). Will Pluralism Be An Enduring State of Our Discipline. Int. J. Psychoanalysis 86: 23-26. <u>PEP Web Link</u>
- 1. Learning Objective: Participants will be able to discuss the ways that psychoanalytic theory can illuminate clinical experience or, conversely, serve as a "controlling fiction" that provides an illusion of certainty amidst the flood of clinical data.

In our final session we will consider the current pluralism of our psychoanalytic landscape, particularly in light of the analyst's technique. Spezzano articulates the alleged dichotomies that haunt contemporary psychoanalysis - relational versus intrapsychic, drive versus non-drive theory, and Freudian versus non-Freudian. Additionally, he elaborates important ways of thinking about current ego psychology, with its technical emphasis on the analysis of resistance and defense, versus intersubjective theories, with their focus on the analysis of transference through enactment. His idea that the patient might be understood more as an unconscious communicator, rather than resistor, is a particularly intriguing contribution. Finally, we will consider Wallerstein's paper about how to think about the divergences and convergences in our current state of theoretical pluralism, and particularly his plea to focus on clinical experience.

Optional: Foehl, J. (2010). The Play's the Thing: The Primacy of Process and the Persistence of Pluralism in Contemporary Psychoanalysis. Contemporary Psychoanalysis 46: 48-86. PEP Web Link